


GLOBAL ROUNDTABLE ON CLIMATE CHANGE

The Path to Climate Sustainability

A Joint Statement by the Global Roundtable on Climate Change

February 20, 2007

www.earth.columbia.edu/grocc



GLOBAL ROUNDTABLE
ON CLIMATE CHANGE
THE EARTH INSTITUTE AT COLUMBIA UNIVERSITY

TABLE OF CONTENTS

Executive Summary	3
Statement	4
Endorsements from Companies and Institutions	11
Endorsements from Leaders from Business, Civil Society, Government, and Research Institutions	13
Notes and References	19
About The Roundtable	22



GLOBAL ROUNDTABLE
ON CLIMATE CHANGE



The Path to Climate Sustainability

A Joint Statement by the Global Roundtable on Climate Change

EXECUTIVE SUMMARY

Climate change is an urgent problem requiring global action to reduce emissions of carbon dioxide (CO₂) and other greenhouse gases (GHGs). Energy use is vital for a modern economy. Burning fossil fuels produces CO₂. Thus, confronting climate change depends, in many ways, on adopting new and sustainable energy strategies that can meet growing global energy needs while allowing for the stabilization of atmospheric CO₂ concentrations at safe levels.

Energy efficiency must play an important role in these strategies, but long-term success will require a concerted effort to de-carbonize the global energy system. This means significantly increasing the use of non-fossil-fuel energy sources, significantly raising the energy efficiency of fossil-fuel power plants through advanced technologies, and developing and deploying technologies that trap and store the CO₂ produced by the fossil fuels that will remain in use.

Cost-efficient technologies exist today, and others could be developed and deployed, to improve energy efficiency and to help reduce emissions of CO₂ and other GHGs in major sectors of the global economy. Research indicates that heading off the very dangerous risks associated with doubling pre-industrial atmospheric concentrations of CO₂, while an immense challenge, can be achieved at a reasonable cost. Failing to act now would lead to far higher economic and environmental costs and greater risk of irreversible impacts. To meet this challenge and take advantage of these opportunities:

- The world's governments should set scientifically informed targets, including an ambitious but achievable interim, mid-century target for global CO₂ concentrations, for "stabilization of greenhouse gas concentrations in the atmosphere at a level that would prevent dangerous anthropogenic interference with the climate system," in accordance with the stated objective of the Framework Convention on Climate Change (UNFCCC).
- All countries should be party to this accord, which should include specific near- and long-term commitments for action in pursuit of the agreed targets. Commitments for actions by individual countries should reflect differences in levels of economic development and GHG emission patterns and the principles of equity and common but differentiated responsibilities.
- Clear, efficient mechanisms should be established to place a market price on carbon emissions that is reasonably consistent worldwide and across sectors in order to reward efficiency and emission avoidance, encourage innovation, and maintain a level playing field among possible technological options.
- Government policy initiatives should address energy efficiency and de-carbonization in all sectors, allow businesses to choose among a range of options as they strive to minimize GHG emissions and costs, encourage the development and rapid deployment of low-emitting and zero-emitting energy and transportation technologies, and provide incentives to reduce emissions from deforestation and harmful land management practices.
- Governments, the private sector, trade unions, and other sectors of civil society should undertake efforts to prepare for and adapt to the impacts of climate change, since climate change will occur even in the context of highly effective mitigation efforts.
- Signatories to this statement will support scientific processes including the Intergovernmental Panel on Climate Change (IPCC); work to increase public awareness of climate change risks and solutions; report information on their GHG emissions; engage in GHG emissions mitigation, which can include emissions trading schemes; champion demonstration projects; and support public policy efforts to mitigate climate change and its impacts.



The Path to Climate Sustainability

A Joint Statement by the Global Roundtable on Climate Change

CLIMATE AND ENERGY

Climate change is an urgent problem that requires global action to reduce emissions of greenhouse gases in a time frame that minimizes the risk of serious human impact on the Earth's natural systems. While undeniably complex, confronting the issue of climate change depends, in many ways, on developing and deploying low-carbon energy technologies.

The modern age is powered largely by fossil fuels: coal, oil, and gas. The fossil-fuel era has been a period of unprecedented economic advance, with the world's average life expectancy roughly doubling and its per capita income rising roughly ten-fold since the start of the Industrial Revolution. Yet we now understand that fossil fuels—as they are currently used—increase the amount of carbon dioxide (CO₂) in the atmosphere which, along with the release of other greenhouse gases (GHGs), warms the planet and leads to other impacts of global climate change.¹

Human-caused, or anthropogenic, climate change is now underway. If it continues on the current trajectory, it will become increasingly dangerous and costly for current and future generations through myriad impacts on the environment and human society and lead to the extinction of many species.² To avoid such risks, termed “dangerous anthropogenic interference with the climate system” in the 1992 UN Framework Convention on Climate Change (UNFCCC), which has been ratified by more than 180 countries, the world must adopt a new and sustainable energy strategy for the 21st century.³

Improving energy efficiency will be an important part of this strategy, especially initially because available and cost-effective strategies can be deployed quickly. Energy can be produced and used far more economically, contributing the same level of output with a lower input of energy.⁴ Available options include increasing the efficiency of both power plants and the transmission of electricity to end users; expanding the use of combined heat and power generation technologies (co-generation); increasing the fuel-efficiency of cars, trucks, planes, and ships; and improving and expanding the use of more efficient buildings, furnaces, lights, and appliances. Energy efficiency presents win-win scenarios for the economy and the environment, helping to moderate both energy demand and GHG emissions and complementing other technologies needed to meet rising global energy demands.

Yet improving energy efficiency will not be enough. Because energy use is vital for a modern economy, the worldwide demand for energy is bound to increase as economic development continues around the world.⁵ As a result, societies must not only use energy more efficiently, but also must emit much less CO₂ per unit of energy produced. The reduction of CO₂ emissions per unit of energy, an essential requirement of addressing climate change, is known as de-carbonization.

De-carbonization can be achieved in two ways. The first is to increase the use of non-fossil-fuel-based energy sources. Potential options here include wind, solar, geothermal, hydro, tidal, wave, nuclear, waste-to-energy, and/or biomass.⁶ The choices among these technologies will depend on costs, safety, public acceptance, and other considerations. Effective and relatively cost-efficient technologies exist for some of these options today and others could be developed and deployed. Significantly increasing the use of such energy sources, both when building new infrastructure and when replacing fossil fuel facilities, is essential if we are to meet the climate change challenge while meeting global energy needs.



The second is to adopt technologies that permit the use of fossil fuels while preventing the build-up of CO₂ in the atmosphere. One of the main options here is carbon capture and sequestration (CCS)—gathering and storing the CO₂ produced by burning or gasifying fossil fuels. CCS technologies that capture CO₂ emissions at the source (from a power plant, for example) and then sequester them beneath the Earth's surface have been proven technically but need to be demonstrated commercially and at the scale required to make a significant impact on efforts to de-carbonize the global energy system.⁷

Pursuing CCS should not be seen as an alternative to achieving significantly greater energy efficiency or greatly expanding the use of non-fossil-fuel-based energy sources but rather as an additional and important component to a comprehensive 21st century energy strategy. For example, realistic analysis suggests that, given the global distribution of immense coal reserves, coal is likely to remain an important fuel source for electricity production, and perhaps other energy needs, in many countries for an extended period.⁸ CCS represents a potential method for significantly limiting the release of CO₂ from the use of these coal reserves, as well as the use of other fossil fuel reserves. Other currently available options that can reduce, although not eliminate, GHG emissions from coal-fired electric generation include distributed generation with co-generation and a variety of advanced coal technologies with improved energy efficiency and lower carbon emissions.

The impacts of climate change are already being observed, and each new power plant or factory constructed using standard fossil-fuel technology (especially without provision for CCS) locks in place a path of high CO₂ emissions during the life of the facility, which can be 50 years or more. Every year that passes without significant global efforts to reduce emissions means a higher concentration of atmospheric CO₂ and an increased risk that the world will surpass levels of atmospheric CO₂ that make “dangerous anthropogenic interference” unavoidable.⁹

The arithmetic behind the threat is compelling. The atmospheric concentration of CO₂ is now more than 380 parts per million (ppm), about 30 percent higher than it stood in 1900.¹⁰ Nearly half of this increase has occurred since 1980. The world currently uses around 7 billion tons of carbon-based fuels per year, and emits roughly 2 billion tons of CO₂ from deforestation and land-use change, and CO₂ concentrations are now rising by around 2 ppm per year—a rate that is increasing.

As the CO₂ concentration rises, the impacts on the planet also mount. Some leading scientists put the threshold for “dangerous anthropogenic interference” as low as 450 ppm because of serious risks of major sea level rises, changes in weather patterns, and the extinction of many species.¹¹ Broad scientific consensus exists about the risks of reaching 560 ppm, which is sometimes called 2X CO₂ because 560 ppm is twice the pre-industrial concentration of 280 ppm.¹² However, even this higher threshold will be very hard to avoid unless strong actions are adopted in the near future. A “business-as-usual” path, meanwhile, could put the planet well above 750 ppm and perhaps at triple pre-industrial CO₂ levels (that is, 840 ppm) by the end of the century.¹³

The challenge is clear. Society must move reliably and swiftly toward a de-carbonized energy system and must do so in a manner that minimizes the transition costs, avoids economic dislocations, and does not jeopardize the economic development of poorer countries. Transition strategies should aim to reduce and/or compensate adjustment costs on workers affected by the move to de-carbonized energy systems.

There will be no single solution—many changes in energy efficiency and energy technology will play a role. Moreover, no single economic sector or group of countries can solve the problem alone. De-carbonization of the energy system will require global action in all key sectors of each economy. The changeover will require decades to complete, but the climate arithmetic dictates that we start now in order to avoid more dangerous risks in the coming decades.



Residential and Services. Commercial and residential buildings account for a significant percentage of electricity consumption and CO₂ emissions.¹⁷ Green building can play an important role in efforts to increase the efficient use of energy. Greater use of proven, scientifically based methods and standards for improved building design, sustainable site development, energy and water efficiency, enhanced insulation, materials selection, and indoor environmental quality would yield significant reductions in GHG emissions and produce other benefits.¹⁸ De-carbonization can be pursued by converting heating and cooling systems reliant on fossil fuels to electricity and piped heat produced by low- or zero-carbon emission technologies.

In some cases, energy efficiency and de-carbonization will add little to the overall costs of energy to end users in these sectors. Significant, cost-efficient opportunities exist for efficiency gains using existing technology and proven practices. New technologies are on the horizon that might also save money and reduce GHGs at the same time. Pure win-win possibilities exist, but in some cases these technologies are impeded by government policies, lack of consumer information, or regulatory impediments. Such barriers to reduced GHG emissions should be removed as soon as possible.¹⁹ Developing and deploying new technologies can also provide new business and employment opportunities for companies that take the initiative. In such efforts, “life-cycle thinking” on product and process design will be relevant.²⁰

More often, however, the changeover to low-carbon and de-carbonized energy systems will require additional investments which will raise the costs to energy end-users. However, the costs of avoiding dangerous anthropogenic interference while achieving a more efficient and de-carbonized global energy system still appear reasonable, particularly compared to the costs of inaction and the consequential impacts of significant climate change. Again, while the precise figures are uncertain and we have not sought agreement on specific quantitative claims, it is reasonable to believe that heading off a doubling of CO₂ concentrations can be achieved at a cost of about 1 percent of global GDP and perhaps less as new technologies become established.²¹

Put in different terms, this equals an average cost of about 2 cents per kilowatt-hour and 25 cents per gallon of gasoline.²² The cost-per-ton of avoided CO₂ emissions can probably be kept to an approximate average of \$25 to \$30.²³ The exact cost will of course vary by economic sector and region, as well as over time. Many of the least expensive and potentially profitable options will be available in the initial phase (e.g. in situations where energy efficiency savings cover investment costs or where locally cost-effective energy alternatives are available). Costs will likely increase as the need to develop and deploy new technologies and infrastructures increases. Costs will also vary to the extent that there is effective use of existing technologies (including timely government action to facilitate deployment of existing low- or zero-carbon-intensive technologies), timely government and private sector support for research, development, and demonstration of new technologies, and public acceptance of those technologies. Nevertheless, and most importantly, if we delay too long in beginning the changeover to increasingly de-carbonized energy systems the eventual costs will only rise and the impacts of climate change will only become more severe.



GLOBAL ROUNDTABLE
ON CLIMATE CHANGE

HOW WE CAN SUCCEED: TOWARDS A GLOBAL PLAN

Participants in the Global Roundtable on Climate Change (GROCC) aim to support a greater global consensus on core aspects of a realistic policy on climate change; one that seeks the simultaneous objectives of effectively mitigating anthropogenic climate change while also creating the sustainable energy systems necessary to achieve long-term economic development and growth for all nations. In that spirit, we put forward the following as important principles for creating an effective climate policy.

- The world's governments should work expeditiously to agree on a target for stabilizing CO₂ levels in the atmosphere. The target should aim explicitly at "stabilization of greenhouse gas concentrations in the atmosphere at a level that would prevent dangerous anthropogenic interference with the climate system" in accordance with the stated objective of the Framework Convention on Climate Change (UNFCCC).²⁴ Deliberations on this target should be informed by the best and most current scientific information available, in particular the comprehensive 2007 Fourth Assessment Report of the Intergovernmental Panel on Climate Change (IPCC).²⁵ As part of this agreement, governments should agree on an ambitious but achievable interim, mid-century target for global CO₂ concentrations and on a series of specific measures to ensure that effective and meaningful action is undertaken immediately.²⁶ As with all effective policies, targets should be adaptable to new evidence in a reasonable and precautionary manner.
- All countries should be party to this accord and it should include specific national and international commitments for action in pursuit of the agreed-upon target. Commitments for actions by individual countries should reflect differences in levels of economic development and GHG emissions patterns, and the principles of equity and common but differentiated responsibilities. The need for all regions of the world, including developing countries, to participate reflects the basic arithmetic of carbon emissions. The developing countries, as a group, will soon be the largest emitters of GHGs, though on a per capita basis the developed regions will still be far larger emitters. There is no prospect for stabilization of GHGs unless all countries with major emissions are actively committed to that goal.²⁷
- In accordance with the principles of equity and common but differentiated responsibilities, the global agreement should include specific mechanisms for industrialized countries to take leadership roles related to emission reductions, such as developing, demonstrating, and deploying low- and zero-carbon-emission energy technologies and CCS systems and/or providing appropriate assistance to developing countries to help them adopt low-carbon energy systems (for example, by creating a new sustainable energy fund to support the introduction of low- and zero-carbon-emitting energy technologies in low-income countries). Continued and effective support should also be provided to the Clean Development Mechanism (CDM) and related initiatives.²⁸ Deeper and wider mutual understanding among developed and developing countries should be promoted in order to realize these mechanisms. Developed countries should appreciate the special challenges faced by poorer countries in combining economic development with GHG mitigation, as well as the historical patterns of GHG emissions.²⁹
- Clear and efficient mechanisms are needed to place an appropriate market price on carbon emissions at the national and international level.³⁰ The price on carbon emissions should be reasonably consistent across sectors and worldwide. Establishing such a market price (via tradable emission credits, permits, incentives, taxes, and/or other measures) is needed to reward efficiency and emission avoidance, encourage innovation, help induce energy producers and consumers to choose low- and zero-carbon emission technologies, create a level playing field across technology options, and, thereby, reduce the overall, system-wide cost of de-carbonization.³¹ The most successful policies will give a clear price signal for many years into the future.
- Energy efficiency and timely de-carbonization should be pursued in all major economic sectors and include sector-appropriate mixtures of performance standards, market mechanisms, and incentives to discourage the creation of additional high-carbon emission energy production and encourage low- and zero-carbon emission energy technologies. Businesses should be allowed to choose among a wide range of options, locally and globally, as they strive to minimize both GHG emissions and costs. Subsidies and other policies that encourage the use of high-carbon emission technologies, especially



without provisions for CCS, or that discourage non-carbon, renewable energy sources, should be carefully reviewed and generally eliminated.

- Incentive schemes and policy mechanisms should not inadvertently work against early actions by companies, for example by inappropriately “raising the bar” on companies that have taken mitigation actions ahead of policy changes. Indeed, policy makers should make efforts to encourage rather than discourage such early actions.
- Carbon emissions from deforestation, which represent a significant portion of total global emissions, should be addressed. Incentives to protect forests should be included in relevant international and national policy mechanisms. These efforts should include providing appropriate financial incentives and emissions credits to developing countries that reduce CO₂ emissions by protecting tropical forests.³²
- Land management patterns can have an important impact on net emissions of CO₂, methane, and nitrous oxide. Public policies should provide incentives to implement land management practices that reduce net greenhouse gas emissions or augment the carbon content of soils.
- Governments should support, through direct funding or incentives for the private sector, major increases in research, development, and deployment (RD&D) of advanced non-carbon-emitting energy technologies. Targets for increased RD&D could include (but are not limited to): solar photo-voltaic, solar thermal power, geo-thermal, tidal, wave, and/or nuclear energy (including safety, waste storage, and proliferation issues); CCS; improved land management; and sustainable transportation (e.g. bio-fuels, hybrid technologies, fuel-cell technology, and/or lightweight design).³³ Special demonstration programs and other kinds of public policies (e.g. supportive regulations) should be adopted to enable promising new technologies and practices to reach the market expeditiously. Such programs will be of special importance in the rapidly industrializing developing countries.³⁴
- Green building standards and incentives should be expanded and efforts to reduce energy use through green building initiatives should be supported at the public and private level. Efforts to reduce global emissions of methane from landfills should be expanded, including increased use of waste-to-energy facilities where appropriate and cost-effective. Policies that encourage or include provisions for GHG offsets (projects funded by industries, businesses, institutions, or individuals in order to compensate for their GHG emissions in other areas), should ensure that all GHG offsets are real, verifiable, additional, and quantifiable.
- Public-private councils should be formed in key sectors (for example, electricity production, cement, steel, petrochemicals, commercial building, and others) to assist the formulation, promotion, and adoption of standards for safety, efficiency, and consumer acceptability of key sustainable energy technologies. Such councils should include key stakeholders, such as policy makers, business leaders, trade unions, consumer groups, and civil society.³⁵
- Efforts should be undertaken to prepare for and adapt to the impacts of climate change. Many of these impacts will fall most heavily on the poorest and most vulnerable communities and in developing countries with the least ability to adapt. Technical and financial assistance will be needed by particularly vulnerable, low-income, developing countries to meet their mounting adaptation needs. Mitigation and adaptation efforts need to be part of a coherent dual strategy. Effective climate adaptation will require stronger efforts within international climate agreements as well among development agencies, the private sector, and non-governmental organizations.



OUR CLIMATE RESPONSIBILITY

Each company and institution, as well as each government, has the opportunity and responsibility to address climate change. This responsibility can be fulfilled in a variety of ways, which will differ depending on the nature of the business or organization. In this spirit, and in recognition of the importance and immediacy of this issue, we commit ourselves to pursuing the following measures and invite others to do likewise:

- Publicly supporting the global scientific processes that underpin international decision making with regard to climate change, including the IPCC.
- Advocating responsible climate and energy policies, including globally agreed-upon targets for stabilizing GHG levels in the atmosphere; policies designed to achieve these targets; increased research, development, and deployment of new technologies; and enactment of supportive market mechanisms and other policies.
- Helping to communicate information on climate change solutions, including energy efficiency, life-cycle thinking, and other options, to customers, suppliers, employees, and the public.
- Monitoring and reporting information on our annual emissions of greenhouse gases.
- Adopting clear goals and policies on our GHG emissions and engaging in appropriate GHG emissions mitigation efforts and programs, which could include participation in emissions trading schemes, offsets, CDM, or other mechanisms.
- Incorporating climate change and GHG emissions into relevant business management decision making, and communicating such actions to key stakeholders, such as investors, employees, suppliers, and customers.
- Examining the potential for advanced commercial and residential building designs and new energy technologies that result in lower GHG emissions when constructing new facilities or retrofitting existing facilities.
- Providing leadership in industry associations, trade unions, and other organizations appropriate to our company or institution to promote the adoption of climate change standards in each sector.
- Supporting demonstration projects and other activities that test, scale, or promote technologies, policies, or other programs that seek to mitigate climate change and its impacts.³⁶



AFFIRMATION

This statement seeks to help build consensus on the urgency and interconnected importance of adopting realistic government and corporate policies to address climate change and to build sustainable energy systems. It is neither a contract nor a formal policy proposal, but rather a brief, plain-language contribution to what we believe needs to be a serious global conversation and commitment for action. In this spirit, we endorse this statement and welcome others to join us.*

Companies and Institutions³⁷

- ABB
- Air France
- Alcan
- Alcoa
- Allianz
- American Association of Blacks in Energy
- American Council for an Energy Efficient Economy
- American Electric Power
- Aristeia Capital
- BASF
- Bayer
- Calvert Group
- Canadian Electricity Association
- Center for Research on Environmental Decisions
- Center for the Study of Science and Religion
- Centrica
- Ceres
- China Renewable Energy Industry Association
- Citigroup
- Climate Group
- Climate Institute
- Climate Trust
- Community Research and Development Centre, Nigeria
- Covanta Holding Corporation
- Doosan Babcock Energy Limited
- DuPont
- Earth Institute at Columbia University
- EcoSecurities
- Electricité de France, North America
- Electricity Generating Authority of Thailand
- ENDA Energy
- Endesa
- Energetech Australia Pty Ltd
- Energy East Corporation
- Energy Holding Romania
- Eni
- Environmental Defense
- Eskom
- ETG International
- Exelon Corporation
- F&C Asset Management
- FPL Group
- General Electric
- German Electricity Association (VDEW)
- Glitnir Bank
- Global Energy Network Institute
- Green Strategies, Inc.
- Iberdrola
- Iceland GeoSurvey (ISOR)
- ING Group
- Institute for Global Environmental Strategies
- Interface
- International Gas Union
- International Paper
- International Power
- Juelich Research Centre (FZJ)
- Lenfest Foundation
- Marsh & McLennan Companies

* Titles and affiliations for individuals are listed for identification purposes only. Affirmation is not considered legally binding to a particular policy position or course of action but an indication of support for the general consensus expressed in the document.



GLOBAL ROUNDTABLE
ON CLIMATE CHANGE

- Massachusetts Municipal Wholesale Electric Company
- MEDIAS–France
- MissionPoint Capital Partners
- Munich Re
- Nand & Jeet Khemka Foundation
- National Council of Churches USA
- National Grid
- National Power Company of Iceland
- NGEN mgt II, LLC
- NiSource
- Northwestern University
- NRG Energy
- Old Harbor Outfitters
- Patagonia
- Pennsylvania Consortium for Interdisciplinary Environmental Policy
- Rainforest Alliance
- Reykjavík Energy
- Ricoh
- Rio Tinto Energy Services
- Rockefeller Brothers Fund
- Rolls-Royce
- Sea Breeze Power
- SGS (Société Générale de Surveillance) Group
- South East Asia and Pacific Secretariat of the Renewable Energy and Energy Efficiency Partnership
- Stora Enso North America
- Stratus Consulting
- Sun Management Institute
- Suntech Power
- Swiss Re
- UCG Partnership
- University of Akureyri
- University of Iceland
- U.S. Geothermal
- U.S. Green Building Council
- US Renewables Group
- Vattenfall
- Verde Venture Partners
- Volvo
- Women’s Global Green Action Network
- World Council of Churches
- World Petroleum Council
- Wuppertal Institute for Climate, Environment and Energy



*Leaders from Business, Civil Society, Government,
and Research Institutions³⁸*

Daniel R. Abbasi

Director
MissionPoint Capital Partners

George Akerlof

Koshland Professor of Economics
University of California at Berkeley
2001 Nobel Laureate in Economics

Ernesto A. López Anadón

President
International Gas Union

Bjarni Ármannsson

Chief Executive Officer
Glitnir Bank

Roger S. Ballentine

President
Green Strategies, Inc.

Henry S. Bienen

President
Northwestern University

Ruth Greenspan Bell

Director, International Institutional Development and
Environmental Assistance Program
Resources for the Future

Lennart Billfalk

Senior Vice President
Vattenfall AB

Sue Blacklock

The Lenfest Foundation

Paul Bledsoe

Director of Communications and Strategy
National Commission on Energy Policy

Eron Bloomgarden

Country Director, United States
EcoSecurities

Paul Brandt-Rauf

Chairman, Environmental Health Sciences
Columbia University

Wallace S. Broecker

Newberry Professor of Earth and Environmental Sciences
Columbia University

Donald A. Brown

Director, Pennsylvania Consortium for Interdisciplinary
Environmental Policy

Mike Burnett

Executive Director
The Climate Trust

Mark A. Cane

G. Unger Vetlesen Professor of Earth and
Environmental Science
Columbia University

Thomas R. Casten

Chair
Recycled Energy Development LLC

Wilton Cedeno

Chairman
American Association of Blacks in Energy

Kimball C. Chen

Chairman
ETG International, LLC.

Robert S. Chen

Director, Center for International Earth Science
Information Network

Paul Clements-Hunt

Head of Secretariat
UNEP Finance Initiative

Steven Cohen

Director, MPA Program in Environmental Science and Policy
Columbia University

Daniel T. Colbert

Chief Technology Officer
NGEN mgt II, LLC

Rohan Courtney

Director
UCG Partnership

Phil Cox

Chief Executive Officer
International Power plc

David Crane

President and Chief Executive Officer
NRG Energy, Inc.

Fabrizio d'Adda

Chief Executive Officer
Dyna Network



GLOBAL ROUNDTABLE
ON CLIMATE CHANGE

Tom Denniss

Founder and Executive Director
Energetech Australia Pty Ltd

Brynhildur Davidsdottir

Professor of Environment and Resources
University of Iceland

David L. Downie

Director
Global Roundtable on Climate Change

Rev. Bob Edgar

General Secretary
National Council of Churches USA

Paul R. Epstein

Associate Director, Center for Health and the
Global Environment
Harvard Medical School

S. Rick Fedrizzi

President, Chief Executive Officer, and Founding Chairman
U.S. Green Building Council

Ólafur G. Flóvenz

General Director
Iceland GeoSurvey (ISOR)

Ignacio S. Galán

Chairman and Chief Executive Officer
Iberdrola

John F. Gillen

President/Regional Manager
Stora Enso North America Corp.

Julie Fox Gorte

Vice President and Chief Social Investment Strategist
Calvert Group

Joseph H. Graziano

Professor of Environmental Health Sciences
Columbia University

Alain Grisay

Chief Executive Officer
F&C Asset Management plc

Thorsteinn Gunnarsson

President
University of Akureyri

David G. Hallman

Advisor, World Council of Churches Programme
on Climate Change

James E. Hansen

Director
NASA Goddard Institute for Space Studies

Paul G. Harris

Professor of International and Environmental Studies
Lingnan University

David G. Hawkins

Director, Climate Center
Natural Resource Defense Council

Geoffrey Heal

Paul Garrett Professor of Public Policy and
Corporate Responsibility
Columbia University

Daniel T. Hendrix

President and Chief Executive Officer
Interface, Inc.

Peter Hennicke

President
Wuppertal Institute for Climate, Environment and Energy

Thomas Heyd

Professor of Philosophy
University of Victoria

Robert L. Hill

President
American Association of Blacks in Energy

Daniel Hillel

Senior Research Scientist
NASA Goddard Institute for Space Studies

Joseph F. Huber

Managing Director
Credit Suisse



GLOBAL ROUNDTABLE
ON CLIMATE CHANGE

Kristin Ingolfsdottir

President
University of Iceland

Giusepp Iorio

GHG Manager
Eni S.p.A.

Torsten Jeworrek

Member of the Board of Management
Munich Reinsurance Company

James W. Jones

Distinguished Professor, Department of Agricultural and
Biological Engineering
University of Florida

Lars Josefsson

Chief Executive Officer
Vattenfall AB

Mary Rose Kaczorowski and Tara DePorte

Women's Global Green Action Network

Amy Kean

Regional Manager, South East Asia and Pacific
Renewable Energy and Energy Efficiency Partnership

Fred Kindle

President and Chief Executive Officer
ABB Ltd.

Thomas King

Managing Director
US Renewables Group

Chris Kirk

Chief Executive Officer
SGS (Société Générale de Surveillance) Group

Rev. Samuel Kobia

General Secretary
World Council of Churches

Christian Kornevall

Project Director, Energy Efficiency in Buildings
World Business Council for Sustainable Development

Daniel Kunz

President and Chief Executive Officer
U.S. Geothermal Inc.

Joseph M. Kwasnik

Vice President–Environment US
National Grid

Klaus S. Lackner

Ewing–Worzel Professor of Geophysics
Columbia University

Anthony Leiserowitz

Research Scientist
Decision Research

Gerry Lenfest

President
The Lenfest Group and The Lenfest Foundation

Joshua Lipton

Chief Executive Officer
Stratus Consulting Inc.

Gareth Llewellyn

Group Corporate Responsibility Director
National Grid

Mindy Luber

President
Ceres

Sasha Mackler

Associate Technical Director
National Commission on Energy Policy

Paul B. Manson

President and Chief Executive Officer
Sea Breeze Power Corp.

A. Roy Maybud

Senior Executive Vice President
Energy Holding Romania

Marco Mazzotti

Professor of Process Engineering
ETH Swiss Federal Institute of Technology



GLOBAL ROUNDTABLE
ON CLIMATE CHANGE

Eberhard Meller

Executive Director
German Electricity Association

Ivo Menzinger

Head, Sustainability & Emerging Risk Management
Swiss Reinsurance Company

Evan Mills

Staff Scientist
Environmental Energy Technologies Division
Lawrence Berkeley National Laboratory

Vijay Modi

Professor of Mechanical Engineering
Columbia University

Elizabeth A. Moler

Executive Vice President, Governmental and
Environmental Affairs and Public Policy
Exelon Corporation

Akio Morishima

Chair, Board of Directors
Institute for Global Environmental Strategies

Christian Nadal

President
Electricité de France, International North America

Susumu Nakamaru

Founder
Sun Management Institute

Shahid Naeem

Professor of Ecology, Evolution and Environmental Biology
Columbia University

David Nissen

Professor of Practice in International and Public Affairs
Columbia University

Michael Northrop

Program Director
Rockefeller Brothers Fund

Anthony Orlando

President and Chief Executive Officer
Covanta Holding Corporation

Gudrun Petursdottir

Director, Institute for Sustainable Development
University of Iceland

Robert Pollack

Professor of Biological Sciences
Director, Center for the Study of Science and Religion
Columbia University

Bill Prindle

Acting Executive Director
American Council for an Energy Efficient Economy

Mark Radka

Chief, Energy Branch
Division of Technology, Industry and Economics
United Nations Environment Program

Pierce Riemer

Director General
World Petroleum Council

Cynthia Rosenzweig

Senior Research Scientist
NASA Goddard Institute for Space Studies

Jeffrey D. Sachs

Director, The Earth Institute at Columbia University
Quetelet Professor of Sustainable Development
Columbia University

Masamitsu Sakurai

President and Chief Executive Officer
Ricoh Company, Ltd.

Peter Schlosser

Vinton Professor of Earth & Environmental Engineering
Professor of Earth and Environmental Science
Columbia University

Jonathan E. Schrag

Executive Director
Lenfest Center for Sustainable Energy



GLOBAL ROUNDTABLE
ON CLIMATE CHANGE

Dayna Nadine Scott

Legal Research Fellow
Center for International Sustainable Development Law

Thorsteinn I. Sigfusson

Professor of Physics
University of Iceland

H. James Simpson

Professor of Earth and Environmental Sciences
Columbia University

Arthur E. Smith, Jr.

Senior Vice President & Environmental Counsel
NiSource Inc.

Joel B. Smith

Vice President
Stratus Consulting Inc.

Olympia J. Snowe

United States Senator
Maine

Robert Socolow

Professor of Mechanical and Aerospace Engineering
Princeton University

Friðrik Sophusson

Chief Executive Officer
National Power Company of Iceland

Glenn O. Steiger

General Manager
Massachusetts Municipal Wholesale Electric Company

Detlef Stolten

Director
Institute of Energy Research–Fuel Cells
Jülich Research Center

David B. Struhs

Vice President of Environmental Affairs
International Paper

Arnaud Cohen Stuart

Corporate Responsibility & Sustainable Development
ING Group

Steve Tempini

Chief Executive Officer
Old Harbor Outfitters

Nickolas J. Themelis

Chairman, U.S. Waste-to-Energy Research and
Technology Council; Professor of Earth and Environmental
Engineering, Columbia University

Michel Tilmant

Chief Executive Officer
ING Group

John Topping

President
The Climate Institute

Eli Turk

Vice President, Government Relations
Canadian Electricity Association

Bruce Usher

Chief Executive Officer
EcoSecurities

Etiosa Uyigue

Executive Director
Community Research and Development Centre, Nigeria

Patrick Van Grunderbeeck

Director
MEDIAS-France

José Luis del Valle

Chief Strategy and Development Officer
Iberdrola

Vilhjálmur P. Vilhjálmsson

Mayor
City of Reykjavík

María Eugenia Ibararán Viniestra

Professor, Department of Economics
University of the Americas, Puebla

Koko Warner

Coordinator, Munich Climate Insurance Initiative
United Nations University



GLOBAL ROUNDTABLE
ON CLIMATE CHANGE

Elke U. Weber

Jerome A. Chazen Professor of International Business
Columbia University

James D. Weinbauer

Senior Vice President–Director Environment
Stora Enso Oyj

Sara Weinheimer

Managing Partner
Verde Venture Partner

David Welsh

Director, Health, Safety & Environment
Rolls-Royce

Tensie Whelan

Executive Director
Rainforest Alliance

Marina v.N. Whitman

Professor of Business Administration and Public Policy,
University of Michigan
President's Council of Economic Advisers, 1972–1973

John F. Williams

Senior Vice President
National Director–Sustainable Development
HDR Engineering, Inc.

Mark Wolfe

Executive Director
Energy Programs Consortium

Robert C. Worrest

Senior Research Scientist
Center for International Earth Science Information Network

Holly Wu

Marketing Director
Suntech Power Holdings Co., Ltd.

Yukio Yanagisawa

Professor of Environmental Process Engineering
University of Tokyo

Zheng Yisheng

Research Fellow
Center for Environment and Development
Chinese Academy of Social Sciences

NOTES AND REFERENCES

Although not a technical document, we have chosen to provide references or additional details for particular statements contained in this document in order to demonstrate their mainstream status among experts in relevant fields.

1. Broad scientific consensus exists concerning the fact that human activities, particularly loading the atmosphere with carbon dioxide (CO₂) from the burning of fossil fuels and deforestation, as well as emissions of other greenhouse gases (GHGs), such as methane and nitrous oxide, are ultimately responsible for much of the increase in global temperatures observed over the last century as well as the associated and increasingly visible and troubling impacts of climate change. The 2007 Fourth Assessment Report by the Intergovernmental Panel on Climate Change (IPCC) provides the most authoritative review of this issue. See Alley, R. et al. IPCC. 2007. Climate Change 2007: The Physical Science Basis, Summary for Policymakers - Contribution of Working Group I to the Fourth Assessment Report of the IPCC. This report and the other IPCC documents referenced in this section are available through the IPCC website at www.ipcc.ch.

Existing public expression of this consensus includes statements by the national science academies of Australia, Belgium, Brazil, Canada, China, France, Germany, India, Indonesia, Ireland, Italy, Japan, Malaysia, Netherlands, New Zealand, Russia, Sweden, Turkey, the United Kingdom, and the United States (U.S.), reports by the IPCC, the Arctic Climate Impact Assessment, the International Climate Change Taskforce (ICCT), as well as statements and findings by other international, national, and regional scientific and political bodies.

For examples of the views of the national science academies see: National Science Academies of the G8 plus the National Science Academies of Brazil, China and India. 2005. Joint Science Academies' Statement: Global Response to Climate Change. Available at <http://www.fco.gov.uk/Files/xfil/PostG8ClimChaAcademies.pdf>; National Academy of Sciences (U.S.) Committee on the Science of Climate Change. 2001. Climate Change Science: An Analysis of Some Key Questions. p 1. (Washington, D.C.: National Academy Press) Also available at <http://newton.nap.edu/html/climatechange/summary.html>; Editorial, signed by 17 national science academies. 2001. "The science of climate change." *Science* 292 (5520): 1261.

For reports by the IPCC, see: IPCC. 2007. Climate Change 2007: The Physical Science Basis, Summary for Policymakers; Watson, R.T. et al. IPCC. 2001. Climate Change 2001: Synthesis Report, Summary for Policymakers. p 5; IPCC. 1995. Second Assessment Report: Climate Change 1995. For the Arctic Climate Impact Assessment, which was sponsored by the governments of Canada, Denmark, Finland, Iceland, Norway, Russia, Sweden, and the U.S., see: 2004. Impacts of a Warming Arctic: Arctic Climate Impact Assessment. Executive Summary, p 8-9. The report and additional information is available at www.acia.uaf.edu. For the International Climate Change Taskforce, see: ICCT. 2005. Meeting the Climate Challenge. Available at <http://snowe.senate.gov/icctreport.pdf>.

For statements by other prominent scientific bodies and government groups that review scientific reports, see: Development and Environment Ministers of OECD Member Countries. 4 April 2006. Declaration on Integrating Climate Change Adaptation into Development Cooperation. Preamble and paragraph 1. (Paris: OECD Headquarters); U.S. Climate Change Science Program, Synthesis and Assessment Product 1.1. 2006. Temperature Trends in the Lower Atmosphere: Steps for Understanding and Reconciling Differences; United Kingdom, Parliamentary Office of Science and Technology. 2005. Rapid Climate Change. p 1-4; American Geophysical Union. 2003. "American Geophysical Union Position Statement on Human Impacts on Climate." Reprinted in *Eos* 84 (51): 574; Showstack, R. 2003. "Climate Change Statements Highlight Human Influence." *Eos* 84 (51): 574; American Meteorological Society. 2003. *Bulletin of the American Meteorological Society*. Vol. 84: 508. For a review of the scientific consensus on climate change as represented in the peer-reviewed science literature, see Oreskes, N. 2004. "The Scientific Consensus on Climate Change." *Science* 306: 1686.

A number of business, civil society, and religious groups have also issued statements on the serious threat posed by climate change, and the need to take action. Representative examples include: Evangelical Climate Initiative. 2006. Climate Change: An Evangelical Call to Action. Available at <http://christiansandclimate.org/pub/statement-booklet.pdf>; World Council of Churches. 2005. Statement to the high-level segment of the UN Climate Change confer-

ence. See also: A statement from the World Council of Churches (WCC) to the High-Level Ministerial Segment of the UN Climate Conference in Nairobi. Statements available at <http://www.oikoumene.org/en/home.html>; United States Conference of Bishops. 2001. Global climate change: A plea for dialogue, prudence, and the common good. Available at www.usccb.org/sdwp/international/globalclimate.htm; Corporate Leaders Group on Climate Change. 2006 Letter to the Prime Minister. Available at http://www.cpi.cam.ac.uk/bep/clgcc/letter_2006.htm; Institutional Investors Group on Climate Change. 2006. Investor Statement on Climate Change. Available at www.iigcc.org; Pew Center on Global Climate Change. 2005. International Climate Efforts Beyond 2012: Report of the Climate Dialogue at Pocantico. (Washington, D.C.: Pew Center on Global Climate Change); Clinton Global Initiative. 2006. Clinton Global Initiative First Year Report. Available at http://www.clintonglobalinitiative.org/pdf/annual_report/CGIReportFeb-01-2006.pdf; World Business Council on Sustainable Development. 2004. Facts & trends to 2050: Energy and climate change. Available at www.wbcsd.org; and the ICCT. 2005. Meeting the Climate Challenge. Available at <http://snowe.senate.gov/icctreport.pdf>.

Anthropogenic emissions of CO₂ – from fossil fuels use, cement production, land use change, and forestry – are the most important anthropogenic GHG due to the relative size of the emissions (nearly 75% of global GHG emissions) and the expected future growth of these emissions in the absence of effective action. Other notable GHGs include methane (CH₄, about 4% from land-filling of municipal solid wastes and about 11% from other sources) nitrous oxide (N₂O, about 9%) and a variety of fluorinated gases including perfluorocarbons (PFCs), chlorofluorocarbons (CFCs) and their related replacement halocarbons, HCFCs), hydrofluorocarbons (HFCs), and sulphur hexafluoride (SF₆). Methane and the other gases have far higher global warming potentials (GWPs) than does CO₂. GWP is a measure of how much a given mass of gas is estimated to contribute to global warming.

It is well known that, historically, the vast majority of anthropogenic GHG emissions have come from the wealthier nations although rapidly industrializing developing countries now emit very substantial amounts as well and may surpass the emissions of developed countries by 2015. The United States emits the most CO₂ on an aggregate and per-capita basis. China is expected to pass the United States in national CO₂ emissions sometime this decade but, like developing nations in general, it is well behind in per-capita emissions.

For detailed information on GHG emissions, compare data and sources in, for example: United States Environmental Protection Agency. 2000. Global Greenhouse Gas Data available at <http://www.epa.gov/climatechange/emissions/globalghg.html>; United Nations Framework Convention on Climate Change. Greenhouse Gas Inventory Data available at <http://ghg.unfccc.int/index.html>; World Resources Institute. Earth Trends: Climate and Atmosphere Searchable Database available at http://earthtrends.wri.org/searchable_db/index.php?theme=3; and for a directory to other Earth science data and services see: NASA Goddard Space Flight Center. Global Change Master Directory: Atmosphere available at <http://gcmd.gsfc.nasa.gov/index.html>.

2. In addition to the sources above, see also, as indicative examples: Schellnhuber et al. 2006. *Avoiding Dangerous Climate Change*. (Cambridge: Cambridge University Press); Epstein, P. and E. Mills. 2005. *Climate Change Futures: Health, Ecological and Economic Dimensions*. The Center for Health and the Global Environment at Harvard Medical School; Patz, J.A., et al. 2005. "Impact of regional climate change on human health." *Nature* 4387066: 310-317.
3. 1992 United Nations Framework Convention on Climate Change. Article 2. Objective. For the text of the Convention and a list of the 189 countries that have ratified it into international law, see the website of the Convention Secretariat at <http://unfccc.int/>.
4. This is widely acknowledged. For a recent analysis, see McKinsey Global Institute. 2006. Productivity of growing global energy demand: A microeconomic perspective. p 14-23. (San Francisco: McKinsey and Company).
5. For discussion of how energy production and demand, the key drivers of CO₂ emissions under current conditions, are expected to continue growing at significant rates, see International Energy Agency. 2006. *World Energy Outlook 2006*. (Paris: OECD/IEA) and Schmalensee, R., T.M. Stoker, and R.A. Judson. 1998. "World carbon dioxide emissions: 1950-2050." *The Review of Economics and Statistics*, 80(1), 15-27.



GLOBAL ROUNDTABLE
ON CLIMATE CHANGE

6. This is an indicative list of non-fossil-fuel-based energy sources, as are similar lists in this document. This statement endorses a significant increase in the use of non-fossil-fuel technologies but does not take a position on which technologies should or should not be used.
7. Although still in the early stages, ongoing work suggests that it might prove feasible to extract CO₂ directly from the air for sequestration. This would make CCS possible wherever conditions are most favorable, where the CCS facilities would not pose environmental risks, and where the permitting, construction, and operational costs would be relatively low. For a preliminary discussion, see Abanades, et al. IPCC. 2005. IPCC Special Report: Carbon Capture and Storage – Summary for Policymakers. p 12-13. (Cambridge: Cambridge University Press).
8. It is well known that coal is the most abundant fossil fuel, with known global reserves that could last for at least another two centuries at current rates of production. Coal reserves are also widely distributed, and vary significantly from those of oil and gas, with very significant reserves found in the United States, Russia, China, India, Australia, Germany, and South Africa. For a concise overview, see Energy Information Agency, United States Department of Energy. 2006. The International Energy Outlook 2006 (IEO2006). Available at <http://www.eia.doe.gov/oiaf/ieo/index.html>. See also International Energy Agency. 2006. World Energy Outlook 2006. Chapter 5, p 80. (Paris: OECD/IEA).
9. As noted, avoiding “dangerous anthropogenic interference” is a stated objective of the 1992 United Nations Framework Convention on Climate Change.
10. See, for example: Watson, R.T. et al. IPCC. 2001. Climate Change 2001: Synthesis Report, Summary for Policymakers. p 5; National Science Academies of the G8 plus the National Science Academies of Brazil, China and India. 2005. Joint Science Academies’ Statement: Global Response to Climate Change. Available at <http://www.fco.gov.uk/Files/efile/PostG8ClimChaAcademies.pdf>; Keeling, C.D. and T.P. Whorf. 2005. Atmospheric carbon dioxide records from sites in the SIO air sampling network. In: U.S. Department of Energy. Trends: A compendium of data on global change. (Oak Ridge: U.S. Department of Energy).
11. Representative examples include: Gregory, J.M., P. Huybrechts, and S. Raper. 2004. “Climatology: Threatened loss of the Greenland ice-sheet.” *Nature* 428: 616; Hansen, J. 2004. “Defusing the global warming time bomb.” *Scientific American* 290 (3): 68-77; O’Neill, B.C. and M. Oppenheimer. 2002. “Dangerous Climate Impacts and the Kyoto Protocol.” *Science* 296: 1972; Parry, M., N. Arnell, et al. 2001. “Millions at risk: defining critical climate change threats and targets.” *Global Environmental Change- Human and Policy Dimensions* 11 (3): 181-183; Azar, C. and H. Rodhe. 1997. “Targets for stabilization of atmospheric CO₂.” *Science* 276: 1818-1819.
12. Many scientists now accept that 2X CO₂ will lead to about a 3°Celsius temperature increase as the best available working estimate. The 2007 IPCC Fourth Assessment Report discusses this point. Currently, many experts indicate that the potential for dangerous anthropogenic interference with the climate system increases rapidly as warming moves significantly above 2°Celsius from pre-industrial levels (see, for example, Watson, R.T. et al. IPCC. 2001. Climate Change 2001: Synthesis Report, Summary for Policymakers. p 9). Maintaining a low probability of the largest and most destabilizing disruptions would therefore indicate setting a prudent, science-based CO₂ stabilization target at levels below those associated with warming greater than 2°Celsius or well below 2X CO₂. For similar conclusions or supporting analysis, see: ICCT, 2005. Meeting the Climate Challenge. p 3. Stern, N. 2006. Stern Review: The Economics of Climate Change. Executive Summary, p xvii. Available at http://www.hm-treasury.gov.uk/media/8AC/F7/Executive_Summary.pdf; Den Elzen, M.G.J. and M. Meinshausen. 2005. Meeting the EU 2°C climate target: Global and national emission implications. p 6. (Bilthoven, Netherlands: Netherlands Environmental Assessment Agency); Meinshausen, M. 2006. What does a 2°C target mean for greenhouse gas concentrations? In: Schellnhuber et al. 2006. Avoiding Dangerous Climate Change. (Cambridge: Cambridge University Press). For an accessible explanation of climate sensitivity, the relationship between atmospheric CO₂ concentrations and global average temperature, see: United Kingdom Met Office, Exeter. 2005. Stabilizing climate to avoid dangerous climate change: A summary of relevant research by the Hadley Center. p 14-15.
13. See, for example, Stern, N. 2006. Stern Review: The Economics of Climate Change. Executive Summary, p iv and xiv. For information on the full range of future emission scenarios see: Watson, R.T. et al. 2001. IPCC. Climate Change 2001: Synthesis Report, Summary for Policymakers. p 10-11. Houghton, J.T. et al. IPCC. 2001. Climate Change 2001: The Scientific Basis, Summary for Policymakers. p 14.
14. These global estimates and terms are those used by the International Energy Agency. See also International Energy Agency. 2006. World Energy Outlook 2006. p 80. For the United States, the U.S. Department of Energy estimates that in 2004, the electric power sector accounted for 39% of total U.S. energy-related CO₂ emissions, the transportation sector 33%, and the industrial sector 29%. U.S. Department of Energy. 2006. Emissions of Greenhouse Gases in the United States 2005. Available at <http://www.eia.doe.gov/oiaf/1605/ggprpt/carbon.html>. For additional information on the availability of emission reductions in these sectors see International Energy Agency. 2006. Energy Technology Perspectives 2006. Available at <http://www.iea.org/textbase/nppdf/stud/06/enertech2006.pdf>.
15. For discussion, see: Davidson, O., B. Metz, et al. IPCC. 2001. Climate Change 2001: Mitigation- The Contribution of Working Group III to the Third Assessment Report of the IPCC. Chapter 3. Available at http://www.grida.no/climate/ipcc_tar/wg3/089.htm; G. Morgan, J. Apt, et al. Pew Center on Global Climate Change. 2005. The US Electric Power Sector and Climate Change Mitigation. (Washington D.C.: Pew Center on Global Climate Change).
16. Such benefits include increasing the market for renewable energy and other mitigation technologies and providing power in non-electrified or underserved rural areas to pump water, increase lighting, enhance schools, and power radios, phones, computers, and small businesses.
17. For example, U.S. Department of Energy, Office of Energy Efficiency and Renewable Energy estimates in its 2006 Buildings Energy Data Book that commercial and residential buildings account for 38% of CO₂ emissions, in the United States, when the impact from energy consumption is included. The U.S. Green Building Council (USGBC), estimates that in the United States, buildings account for: 36% of total energy use; 65% of electricity consumption; 30% of greenhouse gas emissions; 30% of raw materials use; 30% of waste output (approximately 136 million tons annually); and 12% of potable water consumption. This information and links to a large number of detailed research reports are available via the USGBC website at www.usgbc.org.
18. An increasing referenced example of such standards is the “Leadership in Energy & Environmental Design” (LEED) Green Building Rating System.
19. International Energy Agency. 2006. World Energy Outlook 2006. p 43 and 193-314.
20. The relevance of life-cycle thinking in this regard is increasingly recognized. Indicative examples from intergovernmental contexts include: Point 11 in the in the Malmö Ministerial Declaration agreed by governments during the First Global Ministerial Environment Forum in Malmö, Sweden, May 2000 (available at http://www.unep.org/malmo/malmo_ministerial.htm) and relevant elements of the 10-year program framework of programmes to promote sustainable consumption and production patterns agreed to at 2002 World Summit on Sustainable Development, see Report of the World Summit on Sustainable Development: Johannesburg, South Africa, 26 August-4 September 2002. Section III: Changing unsustainable patterns of consumption and production, point 15(a). Available at <http://daccessdds.un.org/doc/UNDOC/GEN/N02/636/93/PDF/N0263693.pdf?OpenElement>. Additional information on life cycle thinking can be found via the United Nations Environment Programme’s Production and Consumption Branch: Sustainable Consumption website at <http://www.unep.org/pc/sustain/lnitiative/background.htm>.
21. Examples of supporting analyses include: Davidson, O., B. Metz, et al. IPCC. 2001. Climate Change 2001: Mitigation- The Contribution of Working Group III to the Third Assessment Report of the IPCC. Chapter 8, p 1; Stern, N. 2006. Stern Review: The Economics of Climate Change. Executive Summary, p xii, xiii, and xiv; International Energy Agency. 2006. World Energy Outlook 2006. p 43 and 193-314.



GLOBAL ROUNDTABLE
ON CLIMATE CHANGE

22. As stated in the previous paragraph, please note that these figures, as well as those in the next sentence, are offered only as indicative examples of the probable reasonableness of costs of addressing climate change, particularly in comparison to the probable costs of inaction, not as firm conclusions or policy recommendations. For an influential early analysis of such figures, see: Rubin, E.S. et al. 1992. "Realistic Mitigation Options for Global Warming." *Science*. 257: 264.
23. For example, see: Stern, N. 2006. *Stern Review: The Economics of Climate Change*. Executive Summary, p xvii; Wisser, R. and M. Bolinger. 2004. *An Overview of Alternative Fossil Fuel Price and Carbon Regulation Scenarios*. Lawrence Berkeley National Laboratory. Available at <http://eetd.lbl.gov/EA/EMP/>; Springer, U. 2003. "The Market for Tradable GHG Permits under the Kyoto Protocol: A Survey of Model Studies." *Energy Economics* 25: 527-551. As noted above, these figures are offered only as indicative examples not as firm conclusions or policy recommendations.
24. See Article 2, "Objective", of the 1992 United Nations Framework Convention on Climate Change.
25. The IPCC was established in part to provide authoritative information on climate change, independent of any one government, to inform policy makers devising individual and collective policy. Governments participating in negotiations under the Framework Convention on Climate Change agreed that their deliberations on targets and commitments beyond the time-frame of the Kyoto Protocol should be informed by the work of the IPCC and other scientific studies of the causes and impacts of climate change and potential mitigation and adaptation strategies, including economic and social factors.
26. Please note that signatories to this statement have not agreed, nor do we seek to propose, particular final or interim targets for atmospheric GHG concentrations. We do agree that such targets need to be set, that they should be based on the best scientific information available, that they should be linked to serious, ambitious national and international policies designed to achieve them, and that they should be adjusted in a precautionary manner as we learn more about both climate change and the costs and benefits of various mitigation strategies.
27. Article 3 of the UNFCCC delineates a series of principles, agreed to by more than 180 governments, that underlie the Convention and, by extension, any related policy protocol. These include the principles of equity and common but differentiated responsibilities, taking into account the respective capabilities of Parties, recognizing the specific needs and special circumstances of developing country Parties, noting that developed country Parties should take the lead in combating climate change, the right and responsibility of Parties to promote sustainable development, and other issues. Realistic political analysis suggests that these principles must be taken into account when considering global policy options or achieving climate stability. At the same time, GHG emissions in many rapidly-industrializing developing countries are increasing rapidly. The developing countries, as a group, will soon be the largest emitters of GHGs, though on a per capita basis the developed regions will still be far larger emitters and are responsible for the vast majority of historical emissions. Thus, realistic analysis of the climate change issue suggests that all major emitters of GHG must be part of a global climate policy or it will not succeed in stabilizing GHGs. This joint statement acknowledges both the reality of the carbon arithmetic and the principle of common but differentiated responsibilities and other principles agreed to under the UNFCCC.
28. CDM is a flexibility mechanism under the Kyoto Protocol which allows industrialized countries with binding greenhouse gas reduction commitments (often called Annex 1 countries) to invest in GHG emission reduction projects in developing countries. Verified reductions from such projects can help an Annex 1 country meet its reduction commitments under the Protocol. When successful, CDM and similar mechanisms can help lead to more total GHG emission reductions at less overall cost while helping to increase the availability of low- and zero-carbon emission energy systems to developing countries.
29. See Footnote 1 on the patterns of GHG emissions including historical emissions from today's developed countries.
30. For extensive discussion of this point see: Stern, N. 2006. *Stern Review: The Economics of Climate Change*. Executive Summary, p xvii, and Chapters 14-17.
31. Please note that the statement does not necessarily endorse using any particular mechanism. Those endorsing this statement agree on the importance of establishing a price but hold different views regarding which of these mechanisms should be used.
32. Reducing deforestation also yields important ancillary benefits, including but not limited to biodiversity protection.
33. This list is indicative. Inclusion on the list does not imply that all participants necessarily support RD&D for each technology or that a given technology is not used today or requires RD&D to become more widely used. Absence from the list does represent an opinion on the propriety of providing incentives for further improvement, or the potential for expanded deployment, of proven technologies such as wind, IGCC, co-generation, waste-to-energy, and green-building.
34. An international example of such an effort is the Asia-Pacific Partnership on Clean Development and Climate, whose founding partners, the governments of Australia, China, India, Japan, Republic of Korea, and the United States, have agreed to work together and with private sector partners to accelerate the development and deployment of clean energy technologies. Additional information is available at <http://www.asiapacificpartnership.org/>.
35. One example of analogous consultations involving trade unions and business experts is the OECD Labour/Management Programme, including the 1 March 2006 Joint Meeting of Management and Trade Union Experts on Implementing the OECD Environmental Strategy. Available via the OECD website at www.oecd.org.
36. Indicative examples of demonstration projects involving Roundtable participants and that enjoy the general endorsement of the Roundtable will be listed at www.earthinstitute.columbia.edu/grocc
37. Those endorsing this statement include participants in the Global Roundtable on Climate Change as well as other prominent members of the global community. For a list of Roundtable participants and other information on the Roundtable, see www.earthinstitute.columbia.edu/grocc. This list of endorsements is current as 15 February 2007. Additional endorsements are welcome and will be included in updated printings and on the Roundtable website. Endorsement of this Statement is not considered legally binding with regard to a particular policy position, characterizations of scientific opinion, specific levels of atmospheric concentrations that may result in impacts, the level of costs that may be reasonable for particular industries or communities to incur, or specific courses of action that could be pursued; but rather an indication of support for the general consensus expressed in this document. Participation in the Global Roundtable on Climate Change on its own does not imply support for this statement.
38. Titles and affiliations for individuals listed for identification purposes only. As noted above, endorsement of this statement is not considered legally binding but rather an indication of support for the general consensus expressed in this document. Participation in the Global Roundtable on Climate Change on its own does not imply support for this statement.



ABOUT THE ROUNDTABLE

Recent scientific and technological advances provide the world with increasingly visible and troubling evidence that human activity is having a dangerous impact on the Earth's climate system. Consequently, there is an urgent need to better understand the threats posed by human-induced climate change and to build a consensus on proactive initiatives that can help society mitigate and adapt to its impacts.

The Global Roundtable on Climate Change assists this effort by bringing together officials and leading experts from business, civil society, international organizations, and research institutions for five years of meetings and related activities. The Roundtable has five overarching objectives:

- To assist development of a global consensus on core scientific, technological, economic, and policy issues related to climate change—one that simultaneously considers the need to mitigate the very significant risks posed by anthropogenic climate change and the need for economic growth and human development around the world.
- To identify technological and policy options for mitigating climate change while meeting global energy needs.
- To champion demonstration projects that test and scale sustainable energy technologies and other activities and policies that address climate change.
- To provide a unique forum for discussion, analysis and exchange of ideas among businesses, international institutions, non-governmental organizations, policy makers, and leading academic experts, from across economic sectors and all parts of the world.
- To catalyze new initiatives and interactions among Roundtable participants to address climate change.

Convened by The Earth Institute at Columbia University, the Roundtable is made possible by a generous grant from the Lenfest Foundation, which is dedicated to supporting programs primarily in the areas of education, the arts and the environment. Detailed information on the Roundtable can be found at <http://www.earthinstitute.columbia.edu/grocc/>.

The Roundtable meetings, as well as important intersession activities, have included discussions of the current scientific understanding of climate change; technological and policy options for mitigating climate change while meeting global energy needs; potential areas for demonstration projects; possible roles for the business community in discussing and addressing the climate issue; and potential principles that the Roundtable might agree upon as important for the development of more effective global action. These activities have provided the basis for this document, "The Path to Climate Sustainability: A Joint Statement by the Global Roundtable on Climate Change."

The Joint Statement has received endorsements from key economic stakeholders and independent experts: leading corporations from all economic sectors—with varied interests and operations in all regions of the world; smaller firms with very different perspectives and concerns; a diverse array of civil, religious, environmental, research and educational institutions; and a distinguished list of some of the world's leading experts in the fields of climate science, engineering, economics and policy studies. The ability of so many key stakeholders, with such diverse views, to agree upon the Joint Statement demonstrates the possibility of fostering a global consensus on a positive, proactive approach to meeting the challenge of global climate change.



GLOBAL ROUNDTABLE
ON CLIMATE CHANGE

www.earth.columbia.edu/grocc



The Earth Institute at Columbia University is the world's leading academic center for the integrated study of Earth, its environment and society. The Earth Institute builds upon excellence in the core disciplines—earth sciences, biological sciences, engineering sciences, social sciences and health sciences—and stresses cross-disciplinary approaches to complex problems. Through research, training and global partnerships, The Earth Institute mobilizes science and technology to advance sustainable development, while placing special emphasis on the needs of the world's poor.

